ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA296129 07/20/2009

Filing date:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91184213
Party	Plaintiff Galaxy Metal Gear, Inc.
Correspondence Address	Jen-Feng Lee WorldEsquire Law Firm LLP 80 South Lake Avenue,Suite 708 Pasadena, CA 91101 UNITED STATES jflee@worldesquire.com, ktanji@worldesquire.com
Submission	Plaintiff's Notice of Reliance
Filer's Name	kenneth tanji, jr.
Filer's e-mail	ktanji@worldesquire.com, jflee@worldesquire.com
Signature	/kenneth tanji, jr./
Date	07/20/2009
Attachments	galaxy-ttab-not reliance cross-complaint.pdf (3 pages)(50099 bytes) galaxy-ttab-cross-complaint.pdf (13 pages)(588770 bytes)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In the matter of Application Serial No.: 78914975

Filed:

6/22/2006

Mark:

METAL GEAR

GALAXY METAL GEAR, INC.,

Opposer,

VS.

DIRECT ACCESS TECHNOLOGY, INC. Applicant.

Opposition No.: 91184213

Action filed: May 20, 2008

OPPOSER'S NOTICE OF RELIANCE ON APPLICANT'S CROSS-COMPLAINT

OPPOSER'S NOTICE OF RELIANCE ON

APPLICANT'S CROSS-COMPLAINT

Pursuant to TBMP §704.06(a), Opposer Galaxy Metal Gear, Inc., hereby serves notice that it intends to rely on the Cross-Complaint filed by Applicant, Direct Access Technology, Inc., in the case of Galaxy Metal Gear, Inc., v. Direct Access Technology, Inc., in the Los Angeles Superior Court case # BC 382375, attached hereto.

Dated:

July 17, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

WorldEsquire Law firm
Jen-Feng (Jeff) Lee
Kenneth Tanji, Jr.
Attorneys for Opposer,

Galaxy Metal Gear Inc. WorldEsquire Law Firm

80 S. Lake Ave., #708

Pasadena, CA 91101

Tel: 626-795-5555
Fax: 626-795-5533

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Michael Olson, Esq. Law Office of Michael C. Olson 1400 Bristol St. N. Suite 270 Newport Beach, CA 92660

Kermeth Tanji, Jr.

1 2 3	LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL C. OLSON Michael C. Olson, Esq. SBN 129496 1400 Bristol Street North, Suite 270 Newport Beach, CA 92660 (949) 442-8940; Fax (949) 442-8935 Attorneys for Defendant and Cross Complainant		
4 5	DIRECT ACCESS TECHNOLOGY, INC.		
6			
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
10			
11	GALAXY METAL GEAR, INC., a California corporation;) CASE NO. BC382375	
12	corporation,	() [ASSIGNED TO THE HON. ANN I.) JONES, DEPT. 40, FOR ALL	
13	Plaintiff,) PURPOSES]	
14	vs.	CROSS COMPLAINT FOR: (1)	
15 16	DIRECT ACCESS TECHNOLOGY, INC.,a California corporation;	VIOLATION OF 15 U.S.C. SECTION 1126(a); (2) COMMON LAW TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT; (3) DILUTION; (4) COMMON LAW	
17 18	Defendants.) UNFAIR COMPETITION; (5)) UNFAIR COMPETITION -) BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS	
19	DIRECT ACCESS TECHNOLOGY, INC.,a	CODE SECTION 17200	
20	California corporation;		
21	Cross Complainant,))	
22	vs.		
23	CALANY METAL CEAD INC a Colifornia	{	
24	GALAXY METAL GEAR, INC., a California corporation, and DOES 1-100 inclusive;) }	
25	Cross Defendant.	\	
26	Cios Defendant.		
27		,	

10 11

9

13 14

12

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 Cross Complainant DIRECT ACCESS TECHNOLOGY, INC. as and for its Cross Complaint against the Defendant, alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. Since at least May 2003 Cross Complainant has been using the mark "METAL GEAR" on enclosures for external computer hard drives imported and distributed by it. Cross Complaint has a common law trademark in the mark "METAL GEAR" when used on enclosures for external computer hard drives. Cross Defendant GALAXY METAL GEAR is a competitor of Cross Complainant, and stole Cross Complainant's "METAL GEAR" trademark, without compensation, and placed "METAL GEAR," "GALAXY METAL GEAR" "METAL GEAR BOX" and "METAL GEAR BOX II" on its enclosures for external computer hard drives and other computer components and accessories, to break into this area and to gain a competitive advantage, thus embarking upon a nefarious scheme to capitalize upon and to usurp Cross Complainant's good name to enter into the marketplace, derive profits from its use, and to defraud and deceive consumers into believing that the Cross Defendant's goods are the Cross Complainant's. Cross Defendant has been palming off external hard drives and optical enclosure cases bearing the "METAL GEAR," "GALAXY METAL GEAR" "METAL GEAR BOX" and "METAL GEAR BOX II" marks, as Cross Complainant's "METAL GEAR" external computer hard drives. Cross Defendant's external computer hard drives are not imported or sold by Cross Complainant. The enclosures for external computer hard drives and optical enclosure cases being sold by Cross Defendant are, therefore, being sold fraudulently, illegally, and unfairly and are misleading consumers and retailers into believing they are imported and distributed by Cross Complainant. By their phony and misleading advertising and packaging, Cross Defendant is defrauding and deceiving consumers, as consumers are unable to discern the true importer or distributor, and are thus unable to obtain warranty and product information. The purpose of this complaint is to put an end to the evil scheme and stop the illegal, fraudulent and unfair practices employed by Cross Defendant in effectuating that scheme.

2. Cross Defendant attempted to register the GALAXY METAL GEAR mark on the register with the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Cross Complainant opposed that registration on

the grounds that Cross Defendant did not own the mark and on the grounds that it was confusingly similar to Cross Complainant's mark. Recently Cross Defendant has abandoned the attempt at registration of the GALAXY METAL GEAR mark with prejudice. Accordingly, any and all limitations of action were equitably tolled during the period proceedings were pending before the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

THE PARTIES

- 3. Cross Complainant Direct Access Technology, Inc. ("DAT") is a California corporation with its principal place of business in the City of Industry, California. DAT is an importer and distributor of the METAL GEAR enclosures for external computer hard drives.
- 4. Cross Complainant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Cross Defendant GALAXY METAL GEAR, INC. is a citizen of the State of California being incorporated in that State and having its principal place of business in Brea, California, and at all times pertinent hereto was doing business in the State of California.
- 5. The true names and/or capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, co-conspirators or otherwise, of Cross Defendants Does 1 100, inclusive, are unknown to Cross Complainant, and Cross Complainant therefore sues these Cross Defendants by said fictitious names. Cross Complainant will seek to amend this Cross Complaint to show the true names and/or capacities of these Cross Defendants when this information has been ascertained. Cross Complainant is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the Cross Defendants designated herein as Doe is legally responsible and liable in some actionable manner for the incidents, circumstances, events and/or happenings referred to herein and proximately caused the damages suffered by Cross Complainant as alleged herein, and/or is subject to relief sought by Cross Complainant.
- 6. Cross Complainant is informed and believes and thereon alleges that all times mentioned herein, each Cross Defendant, including those named fictitiously herein, in addition to acting for himself,

herself, or itself and on his, her or its own behalf individually, are and were acting as the agent, servant, employee, representative, principal, partner, associate, joint venturer and/or co-conspirator of, and with a knowledge, consent and permission of, each and all of the other of said Cross Defendants and therein the course, scope and authority of said agency, service, employment, representation, partnership, association, joint venture, or conspiracy.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(15 U.S.C. 1125.(a))

for METAL GEAR - Lanham Act section 43(a) - unregistered mark

7. Cross Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 6 as though fully set forth herein.

8. At all material times herein, Cross Complainant has been and is engaged in the importation and interstate wholesale distribution and sale of enclosures for external computer hard drives.

9. Cross Complainant displays its logo on the enclosures for external computer hard drives it sells and on advertising associated therewith. By virtue of advertising and sales, together with consumer acceptance and recognition, Cross Complainant's mark identifies Cross Complainant's enclosures for external computer hard drives only, and distinguishes them from enclosures for external computer hard drives imported and sold by others. Cross Complainant's mark has thus become and is a valuable asset symbolizing Cross Complainant, its quality enclosures for external computer hard drives, and its goodwill.

10. The law prohibits the use and commercial advertising of any false or misleading description or statement of fact regarding the nature, characteristics, qualities or geographic origin of a person's goods.

- 11. Cross Defendant, with actual notice, or at least constructive notice, of Cross Complainant's ownership of the trademark "METAL GEAR" has used the names "METAL GEAR," "GALAXY METAL GEAR," "METAL GEAR BOX" and "METAL GEAR BOX II" on its external computer hard drives, optical enclosure cases and other computer components and accessories. It has used the names in advertising, brochures, promotional materials, etc. for products which are not Cross Complainant's METAL GEAR devices.
- 12. By advertising, promoting, offering for sale and selling enclosures for external computer hard drives and other computer components and accessories under the names "METAL GEAR," "GALAXY METAL GEAR," "METAL GEAR BOX" and "METAL GEAR BOX II" and using brochures, decals, markings, materials and packaging with the "METAL GEAR," "GALAXY METAL GEAR," "METAL GEAR BOX" and "METAL GEAR BOX II" name thereon, in a conscious and deliberate attempt to simulate Cross Complainant's distinctive mark and name with the deliberate intent to obtain market acceptance for Cross Defendant's products based on the merit, reputation and goodwill built up over many years by Cross Complainant in conjunction with its enclosures for external computer hard drives, Cross Defendant has used, in commerce, the "METAL GEAR," "GALAXY METAL GEAR," "METAL GEAR BOX" and "METAL GEAR BOX II" marks in a manner likely to cause confusion, or to cause mistake, or to deceive or misrepresent the nature, characteristics, qualities, or geographic origin of its and/or Cross Complainant's enclosures for external computer hard drives in violation of 15 U.S.C. section 1125(a).
- On information and belief, Cross Defendant's conduct is deceptive and likely to cause confusion and create misleading and mistaken perceptions regarding Cross Complainant's and Cross Defendant's products. These activities constitute unfair business practices. Furthermore, Cross Defendant is palming off its product as if it were the product imported and distributed by Cross Complainant. Such palming off constitutes unfair business practices.

- 14. As a result of the sales and advertising by Cross Complainant under the METAL GEAR trademark, the mark has developed and now has a secondary and distinctive trademark meaning to potential purchasers in California, in that potential purchasers in California have come to associate enclosures for external computer hard drives bearing the mark with Cross Complainant. As a result of this association, Cross Defendant's use of Cross Complainant's mark is likely to cause confusion or mistake or to deceive the public as to the source of origin of enclosures for external computer hard drives imported, distributed, sold, or offered for sale by Cross Defendant.
- 15. On information and belief, these advertisements and statements are material and likely to influence, and have influenced, purchasing decisions of consumers and retailers.
- 16. Despite Cross Complainant's requests to the contrary, Cross Defendant have failed and refused, and continue to fail and refuse, to cease and desist from further use of the name "METAL GEAR," "GALAXY METAL GEAR," "METAL GEAR BOX" and "METAL GEAR BOX II" on their external computer hard drives and optical enclosure cases.
- 17. As a proximate result of advantage accruing to Cross Defendant's businesses from Cross Complainant's good name, advertising, sales and consumer recognition, and as proximate result of the confusion, mistake, deception and a combination thereof, caused by Cross Defendant's wrongful advertising and sale of their goods as herein alleged, bearing the "METAL GEAR," "GALAXY METAL GEAR," "METAL GEAR BOX" and "METAL GEAR BOX II" Cross Defendant has made substantial sales and/or profits in an amount to be determined at trial.
- As a proximate result of advantage accruing to Cross Defendant's business from Cross Complainant's nationwide and international name recognition, advertising, sales and consumer recognition, and as a proximate result of confusion, deception, mistake and a combination thereof caused by Cross Defendant's wrongful advertising and sale of their goods as alleged above bearing the name "METAL GEAR," "GALAXY METAL GEAR," "METAL GEAR BOX" and "METAL GEAR BOX"

- 26. Cross Complainant has used the trademark "METAL GEAR" since at least 2003 to identify and distinguish the enclosures for external computer hard drives that it imports, distributes, sells, and offers for sale in California and elsewhere from those imported, distributed, sold, and offered for sale by others, by, among other things, prominently displaying them on its enclosures for external computer hard drives. In addition, Cross Complainant has prominently displayed the mark on advertisements in trade magazines and wherever METAL GEAR products are offered for sale.
- As a result of the sales and advertising by Cross Complainant under its trademark, the mark has developed and now has a secondary and distinctive trademark meaning to potential purchasers in California and elsewhere, in that potential purchasers in California and elsewhere have come to associate enclosures for external computer hard drives bearing the mark with Cross Complainant. As a result of this association, Cross Defendant's use of Cross Complainant's mark is likely to cause confusion or mistake or to deceive the public as to the source of origin of external computer hard drives and other computer components and accessories imported, distributed, sold, or offered for sale by Cross Defendant.
- 28. The aforesaid acts of Cross Defendant have violated and infringed upon the ownership rights of Cross Complainant as the owner of the trade names "METAL GEAR" in direct violation of California common law prohibiting trademark infringement.
- 29. As a proximate result of the conduct of Cross Defendant, and each of them, Cross Complainant has been damaged by Cross Defendant's wrongful conduct in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 30. Because of Cross Defendant's acts, Cross Complainant has been irreparably harmed, and will continue to suffer irreparable harm, unless Cross Defendant is restrained from their acts of wrongdoing.

31. The conduct of Cross Defendant as set forth herein, is and has been despicable, has been done with conscious disregard of Cross Complainant's rights or with the intention of depriving Cross Complainant of property or legal rights, or otherwise constituted oppression fraud or malice under California Civil Code sections 3288 and 3294, thereby entitling Cross Complainant to punitive or exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to punish or set an example of the Cross Defendant, and entitling Cross Complainant to prejudgment interest.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Dilution)

(Business and Professions Code section 14330)

- 32. Cross Complainant re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 31 as though fully set forth herein.
- 33. This cause of action is for dilution of the distinctive quality of the mark "METAL GEAR" and injury to business reputation pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 14330.
- 34. Through Cross Defendant's use and advertisement of the "METAL GEAR," "GALAXY METAL GEAR" and "METAL GEAR II" trademarks on their external computer hard drives, there exists a likelihood of injury to the business reputation of Cross Complainant and a likelihood of dilution of the distinctive quality of Cross Complainant's "METAL GEAR" trademark in violation of Business and Professions Code section 14330.
- 35. As a proximate result of Cross Defendant's conduct, Cross Complainant has been damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 36. Because of Cross Defendant's acts, Cross Complainant has been irreparably harmed, and will continue to suffer irreparable harm, unless Cross Defendant is restrained from their acts of wrongdoing.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Common Law Unfair Competition)

- 37. Cross Complainant re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations in paragraphs1 through 36 as though fully set forth herein.
- 38. Upon information and belief, Cross Defendant has intentionally and deliberately planned and begun advertising, promoting, offering for sale and selling enclosures for external computer hard drives and other computer components and accessories under the "METAL GEAR" mark and "METAL GEAR," "GALAXY METAL GEAR," "METAL GEAR BOX" and "METAL GEAR BOX II" and has used brochures, decals, markings, materials and packaging with the "METAL GEAR," "GALAXY METAL GEAR BOX" and "METAL GEAR BOX II" names thereon, in a conscious and deliberate attempt to simulate Cross Complainant's distinctive mark and name with the deliberate intent to obtain market acceptance for Cross Defendant's products based on the merit, reputation and goodwill built up over many years by Cross Complainant in conjunction with its services, products and activities.
- 39. Such actions by Cross Defendant enables Cross Defendant to compete unfairly with Cross Complainant by, *inter alia*, palming off Cross Defendant's products as those of Cross Complainant, or as to those which are in some way related to, or sanctioned or endorsed by Cross Complainant, to the Cross Complainant's and the public's irreparable harm and damage, and in violation of the common law of California prohibiting unfair competition.
- 40. Cross Complainant has been damaged by Cross Defendant's wrongful conduct in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 41. The conduct of Cross Defendant as set forth herein, is and has been despicable, has been done with conscious disregard of Cross Complainant's rights or with the intention of depriving Cross Complainant of property or legal rights, or otherwise constituted oppression fraud or malice under

California Civil Code sections 3288 and 3294, thereby entitling Cross Complainant to punitive or exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to punish or set an example of the Cross Defendant, and entitling Cross Complainant to prejudgment interest.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unfair Competition)

(Business and Professions Code section 17200)

- 42. Cross Complainant re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 41 as though fully set forth herein.
- 43. Cross Defendant's wrongful conduct as described in the foregoing paragraphs constitutes statutory unfair competition under Business & Professions Code section 17200, *et seq.*
- 44. On information and belief, Cross Defendant has wrongfully placed the marks "METAL GEAR," "GALAXY METAL GEAR," "METAL GEAR BOX" and "METAL GEAR BOX II" on their external computer hard drives and other computer components and accessories and acquired sums of money by means of such unfair competition, in violation of Business & Professions Code section 17200, et seq.
- As a proximate result of Cross Defendant's conduct, Cross Complainant has been damaged in an amount to be determined at trial. Because of Cross Defendant's acts of unfair competition, Cross Complainant has been irreparably harmed, and will continue to suffer irreparable harm unless and until Cross Defendant is restrained from their acts of unfair competition.

WHEREFORE, Cross Complainant prays for judgment that:

1. Cross Complainant be awarded under 15 U.S.C. section 1117 damages sustained by Cross Complainant, and all of Cross Defendant's profits in an amount to be proven at trial, together with costs of this action;

2.	That the Lanham Act damages awarded Cross Complainant be increased up to three times
the amount fo	und or assessed under, inter alia, 15 U.S.C. section 1117(a).

- 3. That Cross Complainant be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees against Cross Defendant under, *inter alia*, 15 U.S.C. section 1117 (a).
- 4. That Cross Defendant and all of their officers, agents and employees and all other persons in active concert or participation with them receive actual notice of the injunction by personal service or otherwise, be preliminarily and permanently enjoined from (a) using the "METAL GEAR," "GALAXY METAL GEAR," "METAL GEAR BOX" and "METAL GEAR BOX II" trademark and/or any other confusingly similar mark to the METAL GEAR mark owned by Cross Complainant; and (b) unfair, deceptive, fraudulent and unlawful acts and all other acts of unfair competition;
- 5. For an order requiring Cross Defendant to deliver up and destroy all infringing materials, including but not limited to all products bearing "METAL GEAR," "GALAXY METAL GEAR," "METAL GEAR BOX" and "METAL GEAR BOX II" marks, all advertising brochures, manuals, letterhead, tapes, videos or any other documentation bearing the "METAL GEAR," "GALAXY METAL GEAR," "METAL GEAR BOX" and "METAL GEAR BOX II" names;
- 6. That Cross Complainant be awarded damages in an amount to be proven at trial as a result of Cross Defendant's wrongdoing.
- 7. That Cross Complainant be awarded restitution pursuant to California Business & Professions Code section 17203 for all sums wrongfully acquired by Cross Defendant by means of the unfair competition in which it has engaged;
- 8. That Cross Complainant be awarded punitive or exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to punish or set an example of Cross Defendant;

- That Cross Complainant be awarded/granted prejudgment interest on all damages 9. awarded to Cross Complainant; and
- 10. That Cross Complainant be awarded such other and further relief as the court determines is just and proper.

Dated: April 4, 2008

LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL C. OLSON, P.C.

Michael C. Olson, Esq. Attorney for Defendant and Cross Complainant DIRECT ACCESS TECHNOLOGY, INC